

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 7/27/2009. Claims 1-20 are pending in this application and have been considered below.

#### ***Specification***

2. The objection to the abstract is corrected by the amendment; therefore, the objections are withdrawn.

#### ***Response to Amendment***

3. Applicant's arguments filed 7/27/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner thoroughly reviewed Applicant's arguments but firmly believes that the cited reference reasonably and properly meets the claimed limitation as rejected.

**Applicant's arguments:** It is respectfully asserted that the afore-cited references, whether viewed alone or in any combination, do not disclose or suggest Applicant's method for wideband communication, as set forth in independent claim 1, reciting:

"transmitting pulses from a first communication device to another device via a wireless link at a pulse repetition frequency, the pulse repetition frequency substantially defining a time difference between adjacent pulses, wherein the method comprises:

performing measurements, based on pulses received at said another device, in order to obtain information on delay conditions of the wireless link; and  
adjusting the pulse repetition frequency based on said measurements."

The cited references, whether viewed alone or in combination, do not disclose or suggest Applicant's communication device, as recited in independent claims 8 and 12, configured for wideband communication, the communication device comprising, respectfully:

"a receiver for receiving pulses transmitted, by another device, via a wireless link, wherein the communication device comprises:

a measurement arrangement for measuring, based on the received pulses, delay conditions of the wireless link for link adjustment purpose"  
(claim 8)

"a transmitter for transmitting pulses via a wireless link to another device; and

a receiver for receiving link control information from said another device, wherein the link control information comprises information indicative of measured delay conditions of the wireless link for link adjustment purpose" (claim 12).

Similarly, Applicant's system for wideband communication, as recited in independent claim 19, is not disclosed or suggested by the cited art.

Nor is there any reason to combine and modify the cited reference in an attempt to arrive at the above subject matter recited in Applicant's independent claims.

**The examiner's response:** Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references. Aiello teaches a method for wideband communication comprising transmitting pulses from a first communication device to another device via a wireless link at a pulse repetition frequency (as show in Figure 1 and paragraph [0024]), the pulse repetition frequency substantially defining a time difference between adjacent pulses (in paragraph [0089] discloses phase offset detector to detect pulse repetition frequency for the incoming pulse), wherein the method comprises: performing measurements, based on pulses received at said another device, in order to obtain information on delay conditions of the wireless link (in paragraph [0089]-[0091] disclose utilizing the received pulses to determine delay conditions of the wireless link); and adjusting the pulse repetition frequency based on said measurements (in paragraph [0024]-[0025] disclose the overall system capability to adjust the pulse repetition frequency based on delay conditions measurements). Therefore, given the broadest and reasonable interpretation to the language of the claim, the examiner strongly believes the prior arts disclosed teach the claimed invention.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in-  
(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for the purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English.

5. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-11, 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aiello et al. (Aiello herein after) (US 2005/0018762 A1).

Re Claim 1, Aiello discloses a method for wideband communication, the method comprising:

transmitting pulses from a first communication device to another device via a wireless link at a pulse repetition frequency (Figure 1), the pulse repetition frequency substantially defining a time difference between adjacent pulses ([0089]), wherein the method comprises:

performing measurements, based on pulses received at said another device, in order to obtain information on delay conditions of the wireless link ([0089]-[0091]); and

adjusting the pulse repetition frequency based on said measurements ([0024]-[0025]).

Re Claim 2, Aiello discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said measurements comprise measuring how a transmitted pulse is spread in time-domain due to delay on a transmission channel ([0085], [0089]).

Re Claim 3, Aiello discloses the method of claim 2, wherein the spread of the transmitted pulse caused by multipath propagation or echoes, experienced at said another device as a delay spread, is measured ([0006]).

Re Claim 5, Aiello discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the method comprises adjustment of the pulse repetition frequency by means of negotiation ([0024]).

Re claim 6, Aiello discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said first communication device and said another device communicate in accordance with ultra-wideband technology ([0011]).

Re Claim 7, Aiello discloses the method of claim 1, wherein pulses from said first communication device to said another device are transmitted according to impulse radio technology ([0011]).

Art Unit: 2611

Re Claim 8, Aiello discloses a communication device configured for wideband communication, the communication device comprising:

a receiver for receiving pulses transmitted, by another device, via a wireless link, wherein the communication device (Figure 1) comprises:

a measurement arrangement for measuring, based on the received pulses, delay conditions of the wireless link for link adjustment purpose ([0085]-[0090]).

Re Claim 10, Aiello discloses the communication device of claim 8, wherein the measurement arrangement is configured for delay spread measurements which indicate how a transmitted pulse is spread in time-domain due to delay on a transmission channel ([0085], [0089]).

Re Claim 11, Aiello discloses the communication device of claim 8, wherein the communication device is configured for negotiation of pulse repetition frequency used in pulse transmission ([0024]).

Re Claim 19, Aiello discloses a system for wideband communication the system comprising a first communication device and a second communication device, wherein the first communication device comprises:

a transmitter for transmitting pulses to said second communication device via a wireless link at a pulse repetition frequency ([0044]), the pulse repetition frequency

Art Unit: 2611

substantially defining a time difference between adjacent pulses ([0024]), wherein the system comprises:

a measurement arrangement for performing measurements, based on pulses received at said another device, in order to obtain information on delay conditions of the wireless link ([0089]-[0091]); the system further comprising:

means for adjusting the pulse repetition frequency based on said measurements ([0024]-[0025]).

Re Claim 20, Aiello discloses the system of claim 19, wherein said measurements comprise channel delay spread measurements for adjustment of pulse repetition frequency used in transmission ([0085], [0089]).

6. Claims 12, 15, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jeon et al. (Jeon herein after) (US 2004/0110510 A1).

Re Claim 12, Jeon discloses a communication device configured for wideband communication, the communication device comprising:

a transmitter (Figure 1) for transmitting pulses via a wireless link to another device ([0026]); and

a receiver (Figure 1) for receiving link control information from said another device ([0030]), wherein the link control information comprises information indicative of

Art Unit: 2611

measured delay conditions of the wireless link for link adjustment purpose ([0038], [0057]-[0058]).

Re Claim 15, Jeon discloses the communication device of claim 12, wherein the measured delay conditions indicate delay spread on a transmission channel ([0057]-[0058]).

Re Claim 18, Jeon discloses the communication device of claim 12, wherein the communication device is selected from a group comprising:

a mobile phone, a laptop computer, a desktop computer, a Personal Digital Assistant, a digital camera ([0003]).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in **Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966)**, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows: (***See MPEP Ch. 2141***)

- a. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art;
- b. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims in issue;
- c. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art; and



Art Unit: 2611

d. Evaluating evidence of secondary considerations for indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

8. Claims 4, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aiello et al. (Aiello herein after) (US 2005/0018762 A1) in view of Jeon et al. (Jeon herein after) (US 2004/0110510 A1).

Re Claim 4, Jeon discloses the method of claim 1 except wherein the method comprises:

transmitting to said first communication device link control information comprising said information on delay conditions for the purpose of adjusting the pulse repetition frequency. However, Jeon teaches a wireless communication system for channel quality estimation and link adaptation for spread spectrum transmitting communication device link control information comprising information on delay conditions for the purpose of adjusting signal modulation and data rate ([0074]-[0076]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the channel quality estimation and link adaptation as taught by Jeon with the communication device as taught by Aiello to further improve the multipath signal to noise ratio to achieve a faster and better communication.

Re Claim 9, the combined teachings disclose the communication device of claim 8, Jeon discloses wherein the communication device comprises:

a transmitter for transmitting link control information comprising information indicative of the measured delay conditions to said another device for said link

Art Unit: 2611

adjustment purpose ([0057]-[0059], Figure 1).

9. Claims 13-14, 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jeon et al. (Jeon herein after) (US 2004/0110510 A1) in view of Aiello et al. (Aiello herein after) (US 2005/0018762 A1).

Re Claim 13, Jeon discloses the communication device of claim 12, except wherein the communication device is configured for transmission of pulses in accordance with a pulse repetition frequency which substantially defines a time-domain transmission interval between two adjacent pulses.

However, Aiello teaches an ultra wide band communication system with data link layer interface wherein the communication device is configured for transmission of pulses in accordance with a pulse repetition frequency which substantially defines a time-domain transmission interval between two adjacent pulses ([0024], [0044], [0074]-[0076]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the ultra wide band communication device as taught by Aiello with the channel quality estimation and link adaptation as taught by Jeon to further improve the multipath signal to noise ratio to achieve a faster and better communication.

Re Claim 14, the combined teachings disclose the communication device of claim 13, Aiello discloses wherein the communication device is configured for

Art Unit: 2611

adjustment of a pulse repetition frequency of its pulse transmission based on said received information indicative of measured delay conditions of the wireless link ([0024]-[0025]).

Re Claim 16, Jeon discloses the communication device of claim 12, except wherein the transmitter is configured for transmission according to impulse radio technology.

However, Aiello teaches an ultra wide band communication system with data link layer interface wherein the transmitter is configured for transmission according to impulse radio technology ([0011], [0024]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the ultra wide band communication device as taught by Aiello with the channel quality estimation and link adaptation as taught by Jeon to further improve the multipath signal to noise ratio to achieve a faster and better communication.

Re Claim 17, Jeon discloses the communication device of claim 12, except wherein the communication device is configured for operation in accordance with ultra-wideband technology.

However, Aiello teaches an ultra wide band communication system with data link layer interface wherein the communication device is configured for operation in accordance with ultra-wideband technology ([0011], [0024]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the

Art Unit: 2611

ultra wide band communication device as taught by Aiello with the channel quality estimation and link adaptation as taught by Jeon to further improve the multipath signal to noise ratio to achieve a faster and better communication.

### ***Conclusion***

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KENNETH LAM whose telephone number is (571)270-1862. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 7:30 am - 4:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shuwang Liu can be reached on (571) 272-3036. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/KENNETH LAM/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2611  
10/30/2009

/Shuwang Liu/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611